



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/358,474 12/19/94

Kyle

0311.48526

EXAMINER
----------

Jordan

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1205

8

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Larry Posorske (3) Kimberly Jordan  
(2) David Kyle (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview January 16, 1996

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Inventor displayed examples of PHAYARA.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all remaining in the application, 21-28, 36-42, 67-82

Identification of prior art discussed: Carlson ref. in ARA copending application

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached with respect to the 112(1) rejection: "substantially free" will be amended to read as a ratio of ARA: EPA of less than 5:1; "essentially free" language is ok in light of incorporation by reference to Pat. No. 5,407,957. Obviousness double patenting rejection will be obviated by T.D. ~~103~~ if claims are held otherwise allowable. Arguments will be submitted with regard to the 103 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Kim Jordan  
Examiner's Signature